



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,817	09/17/2003	Barry Reisberg	1049-1-034N	3446

23565 7590 06/09/2005

KLAUBER & JACKSON  
411 HACKENSACK AVENUE  
HACKENSACK, NJ 07601

EXAMINER
----------

ROYDS, LESLIE A

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1614

DATE MAILED: 06/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**U.S. Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------------------------	-------------	---	---------------------

EXAMINER
----------

ART UNIT	PAPER
----------	-------

06072005

DATE MAILED:

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

**Commissioner for Patents**

See attached Interview Summary.

**Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary**

Application No.

10/664,817

Applicant(s)

REISBERG, BARRY

Examiner

Leslie A. Royds

Art Unit

1614

**All Participants:**(1) Leslie A. Royds.(2) Veronica Mallon.**Status of Application:** Pending

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 7 June 2005**Time:** PM**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

None.

Claims discussed:

All.

Prior art documents discussed:

None.

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

RAYMOND HENLEY III

PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature - if appropriate)

An/614  
Leslie A. Royds

7 June 2005

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Ms. Mallon called Examiner to clarify why claims 28 and 61 were not included in the claim set to be examined in the restriction requirement dated May 17, 2005. Examiner explained that the agents of claim 28 represent a third group of agents (designated group "C") from which a third agent may be chosen that were not presented in the restriction requirement of May 17, 2005. In reply to the requirement, Examiner explained that Applicant is required to choose one agent from group A, one agent from group B and one agent from group C and that Applicant also must choose one of the four possible combinations of agents: (1) one agent of group A alone; (2) one agent of group A in combination with one agent of group B; (3) one agent of group A in combination with one agent of group C; and (4) one agent of group A in combination with one agent of group B in combination with one agent of group C. Ms. Mallon agreed and will contact Examiner with any further questions..

*Heidi Royce* 7 June 2005

*Raymond Henley III*

RAYMOND HENLEY III  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

AL 1617